IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI
WRIT PETITION NO : 16927 of 2004

Between:
1 G. Gopal S/o. Mallaiah R/o Rapole Village, Parigi Mandal, R.R.District.
2 M. Sathaiah S/o. Buchaiah, R/o Rapole Village, Parigi Mandal, R.R.District.
3 A. Ramulu S/o. Mallaiah, R/o Rapole Village, Parigi Mandal, R.R.District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Proh. & Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Proh & Excise, Govt of A.P., at Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the application/representation Dt. 11.09.2004 for grant of TFT LIcences in favour of the petitioners at Rapole villae, Parigi Mandal, R.R.District is illegal, arbitrary, void and in violation of Art. 14,19 & 21 of Constitution of India and further direct the respondents to consider the application/representation Dt. 11/09/2004 for grant of TFT Licences in favour of the petitioners at Rapole village, Parigi Mandal, R.R.District and pass.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.T.AMARNATH GOUD

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Petitioners claim to be the tappers by profession. It is pleaded that they are permanent residents of Rapole village. It is stated that they made an application before the first respondent-Prohibition and Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad on 11-09-2004 requesting to grant TFT licences in their favour at Rapole village. The grievance of the petitioners is that the respondents have not considered their request for grant of licences and no orders have been passed so far. Hence, this writ petition questioning the inaction on the part of the respondents.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise appearing for respondents, without expressing any opinion with regard to the entitlement of the petitioners for grant of TFT licences as sought by them, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the application of the petitioners dated 11-09-2004 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

22-09-2004

klp

To

1 The Proh. & Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad.

2 The Commissioner of Proh & Excise, Govt of A.P., at Hyderabad.

3 2CCs to G.P. for Proh.& Excise, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.(OUT).

4 2CD copies